

Published on Web 09/22/2009

Upconversion Luminescence of Monodisperse CaF₂:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ Nanocrystals

Guofeng Wang, Qing Peng, and Yadong Li*

Department of Chemistry and State Key Laboratory of New Ceramics and Fine Processing, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, P. R. China

Received August 9, 2009; E-mail: ydli@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

Fluorescent labeling materials have been attracting considerable interest for their biological applications.1 However, conventional downconversion fluorescent materials, including organic dyes and semiconductor quantum dots, have their intrinsic limitations.^{1d,2} Furthermore, these downconversion fluorescent materials are excited by ultraviolet (UV) or short wavelength visible (vis) radiation, which have very limited penetration into tissues, strong autofluorescence, and potential cytotoxic and carcinogenic effects.³ To solve these problems above, the development of alternative biological luminescent labels through the use of up-converting rare-earth (RE) nanophosphors has been carried out and sparked considerable interest.4 It offers an attractive optical labeling technique in biological studies without many of the constraints associated with organic fluorophores and quantum dots. RE nanocrystals have sharp absorption and emission lines, high quantum yields, long lifetimes, and superior photostability.⁵ In particular, the upconversion (UC) technique utilizes near-infrared excitation rather than UV excitation, thereby significantly minimizing background autofluorescence, photobleaching, and photodamage to biological specimens. Up to now, many RE-doped nanomaterials have been prepared,⁶ and many strategies have been developed for their application in diagnostic and analytical pathology.7

Among various UC nanomaterials, fluorides are efficient hosts for UC luminescence of RE ions due to their appropriate energy phonons to produce strong UC fluorescence.⁸ Especially, NaYF₄ is acknowledged as the most efficient UC host material at present.⁹ Previous investigations have shown that the UC luminescence in RE doped NaYF₄ strongly depends on the phase and particle size.^{9,10} For example, the UC efficiency of green emission in hexagonal NaYF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ is ~10 times stronger than that in cubic NaYF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺, and again that of bulk cubic NaYF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ is ~10²-10³ times stronger than that in nanosize cubic NaYF₄.⁹ However, compared with the cubic sample, hexagonal NaYF₄ nanocrystals usually have larger particle sizes. Therefore, it is of vital importance to enhance the UC efficiency of the nanocrystals with a smaller size.

CaF₂, a very important fluoride, has been used as an attractive host for phosphors with interesting up/down-conversion luminescent properties.¹¹ The edge of the fundamental absorption band in CaF₂ lies in the vacuum UV at \sim 12 eV. CaF₂ has a well-known fluorite structure, in which Ca²⁺ ions lie at the nodes in a face-centered lattice, while F⁻ ones lie at the centers of the octants.¹² In RE doped CaF₂ nanocrystals, the substitution of a cation requires a negative ion for compensation, and therefore, some interesting luminescence properties can be expected.

Herein, we successfully prepared sub-10 nm monodispersed CaF_2 :Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystals according to our reported liquid—solid-solution (LSS) strategy (Supporting Information).^{6e} These asprepared nanocrystals not only can be transparently dispersed in cyclohexane but also present bright UC luminescence under 980 nm excitation. The UC luminescence properties of CaF₂:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺

nanocrystals were compared with those of NaYF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystals. The results indicate that CaF₂ is a promising host material for producing desirable UC luminescence and has potential applications in fluorescent labels for biomolecules.



Figure 1. (a) TEM image of CaF₂:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystals, (b) magnified image of CaF₂:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystals, (c) HRTEM image of an individual CaF₂:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystal, and (d) XRD pattern of CaF₂:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystals.



Figure 2. UC luminescence spectra of cubic CaF₂:Yb³⁺(20%)/Er³⁺(2%) and cubic NaYF₄:Yb³⁺(20%)/Er³⁺(2%) nanocrystals dissolved in cyclohexane excited with a 980 nm laser. Inset shows the luminescence photograph of CaF₂:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystals dissolved in cyclohexane under 980 nm excitation.

Figure 1a,b show the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the as-synthesized CaF₂:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystals. They are monodisperse, with a diameter of ~7 nm. The high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) image of a single nanoparticle is shown in Figure 1c. The measured lattice spacing is ~0.27 nm, corresponding to the distance of the {200} planes of the cubic CaF₂. This image also reveals the single-crystal nature of the product. The corresponding XRD pattern is presented in Figure 1d, and the peaks matched very well with the standard cubic CaF₂:Yb³⁺(20%) crystal structure data (01-087-0976 in the JCPDS database). In addition, excessive NaF was also found in the XRD pattern.

Figure 2 shows the UC luminescence spectrum of the as-prepared CaF₂:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystals in cyclohexane under 980 nm excitation. The spectral peaks correspond to the following transitions: ${}^{2}H_{9/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}I_{15/2} \ (\sim 410 \text{ nm}), {}^{2}H_{11/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}I_{15/2} \ (\sim 524 \text{ nm}), {}^{4}S_{3/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}I_{15/2} \ (\sim 541 \text{ nm}), \text{ and } {}^{4}F_{9/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}I_{15/2} \ (\sim 654 \text{ nm}).$ It is obvious that the

dominant emission is located in the green luminescence range. The eye-visible green UC fluorescence photo of the transparent colloid solution of the CaF₂:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystals in cyclohexane is shown in the inset of Figure 2.

To evaluate if CaF₂ is an efficient UC host material, the UC luminescence properties of CaF₂:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystals were compared with those of NaYF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystals. The TEM images and XRD patterns of NaYF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystals with different morphologies, sizes, and phases are shown in Figures S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information. Obviously, the mean sizes of hexagonal nanocrystals are much larger than that of the cubic sample. Under 980-nm excitation, the hexagonal NaYF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystals as well as cubic NaYF4:Yb3+/Er3+ nanocrystals with more than 10 nm in size can exhibit much stronger UC luminescence than the CaF₂:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystals. However, in comparison with the sub-10 nm NaYF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³ nanocrystals, the emission intensities of CaF₂:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystals are slightly stronger (Figure 2), indicating that CaF2 is a promising host material for producing desirable UC luminescence. Of course, many factors might be responsible for the UC luminescence properties of REdoped nanocrystals, such as crystal structure, size, shape, and the number of ions surrounding RE ions, on which no final conclusion has yet been reached.



Figure 3. TEM image (a) and XRD pattern (b) of the oxidized sample. Normalized UC spectra of (c) hydrophilic CaF₂:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ in water and (d) hydrophobic CaF₂:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ in cyclohexane under 980 nm excitation.

We expect that these monodispersed CaF₂:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystals can be further employed as multichannel luminescent probes in biological applications.¹³ Then, Lemieux-von Rudloff reagent¹⁴ was used to oxidize oleic acid ligands and convert the hydrophobic into hydrophilic. After Lemieux-von Rudloff oxidation, oleic acid ligands on the surface of UC nanoparticles were converted to azelaic acids (HOOC(CH₂)₇COOH), which have be examined with Fourier transform infrared and NMR spectroscopy by Chen et al.¹⁵ These oxidized nanophosphors could be well-dispersed in water with the help of free carboxylic acid groups on their surface.¹⁵ The TEM image of the sample is shown in Figure 3a. The corresponding XRD pattern of sample is shown in Figure 3b. Obviously, the peak of excessive NaF disappeared. Figure 3c shows the UC luminescence spectrum of the oxidized CaF₂:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystals in water. For comparison, the UC luminescence spectrum of the corresponding nanocrystals before oxidizing is also shown (Figure 3d). The relative intensity of the green to the red slightly decreases after oxidation, which could be attributed to the change of ligands on the surface.15

In addition, the UC mechanisms and power dependent intensities of CaF₂:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystals are discussed. The relative intensity of ${}^{2}H_{11/2}/{}^{4}S_{3/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}I_{15/2}$ to ${}^{4}F_{9/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}I_{15/2}$ as well as ${}^{2}H_{11/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}I_{15/2}$ to ${}^{4}S_{3/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}I_{15/2}$ gradually increased with increasing excitation power, which was discussed in the Supporting Information.¹⁶

In conclusion, monodispersed CaF₂:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystals have been prepared via a facile wet chemical technology. These as-prepared nanocrystals not only can be transparently dispersed in cyclohexane but also can be converted into water-soluble by oxidizing oleic acid ligands with the Lemieux-von Rudloff reagent. Under 980 nm excitation, the colliodal solutions of the as-prepared sample in cyclohexane and the oxidized sample in water both present bright green UC luminescence. The UC luminescence properties of CaF2:Yb3+/Er3+ nanocrystals were compared with those of NaYF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystals with different phases and particle sizes. The results indicated that CaF₂ is a promising host material for producing desirable UC luminescence. In addition, these luminescent properties show that these water-soluble CaF₂:Yb³⁺/ Er³⁺ nanocrystals have potential applications in biological labeling and imaging.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by NSFC (90606006 and 10979032), the State Key Project of Fundamental Research for Nanoscience and Nanotechnology (2006CB932300).

Supporting Information Available: Experiment procedures, Population and photoluminescence processes, and Figure S1-S5. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (a) Holmes, K.; Lantz, L. Methods Cell Biol. 2001, 63, 185. (b) Michalet,
 X.; Pinaud, F.; Bentolila, L.; Tsay, J.; Doose, S.; Li, J.; Sundaresan, G.;
 Wu, A.; Gambhir, S.; Weiss, S. Science 2005, 307, 538. (c) Medintz, I.;
 Uyeda, H.; Goldman, E.; Mattoussi, H. Nat. Mater. 2005, 4, 435. (d) Wang, F.; Tan, W.; Zhang, Y.; Fan, X.; Wang, M. Nanotechnology 2006, 17, RI.
 Miyawaki, A.; Sawano, A.; Kogure, T. Nat. Cell Biol. 2003, 5, S1.
- (a) Yan, H.; He, R.; Johnson, J.; Law, M.; Saykally, R.; Yang, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 4728. (b) Konig, K. J. Microsc. (Oxford, U. K.) 2000, 200, 83.
- (4) Wang, F.; Liu, X. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 976.
 (5) (a) Li, P.; Peng, Q.; Li, Y. Adv. Mater. 2009, 21, 1. (b) Mahalingam, V.; Vetrone, F.; Naccache, R.; Speghini, A.; Capobianco, J. Adv. Mater. 2009, 21. 1.
- (6) (a) Heer, S.; Lehmann, O.; Haase, M.; Güdel, H. Angew. Chem. 2003, 115, (a) Helr, S., Dehmann, J.; Radse, M.; Gddei, H. Jugew. Chem. 2005, 115, 2288. (b) Stouwdam, J.; Raudsepp, M.; van Veggel, F. Langmuir 2005, 21, 7003. (c) Meiser, F.; Cortez, C.; Caruso, F. Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 6080. (d) Wang, L.; Li, P.; Li, Y. Adv. Mater. 2007, 19, 3304. (e) Wang, X.; Zhuang, J.; Peng, Q.; Li, Y. Nature 2005, 437, 121. (f) Liu, J.; Li, Y. Adv. Mater. 2007, 19, 1118. (g) Kömpe, K.; Borchert, H.; Storz, J.; Lobo, 2007, 2 A.; Adam, S.; Möller, T.; Haase, M. Angew. Chem. 2003, 115, 5672. (h) Sivakumar, S.; van Veggel, F.; May, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 620.
- (a) Wang, L.; Yan, R.; Huo, Z.; Wang, L.; Zeng, J.; Bao, J.; Wang, X.;
 Peng, Q.; Li, Y. Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 6208. (b) Diamente, P.; van
 Veggel, F. J. Fluoresc. 2005, 15, 543. (c) Wang, L.; Li, Y. Nano Lett.
 2006, 6, 1645. (d) Lim, S.; Riehn, R.; Ryu, W.; Khanarian, N.; Tung, C.;
 Tank, D.; Austin, R. Nano Lett. 2006, 6, 169. (e) Siyakumar, S.; Diamente, P.; van Veggel, F. Chem.-Eur. J. 2006, 12, 5878.
- (8) Yan, R.; Li, Y. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2005, 15, 763.
- (a) Krämer, K.; Biner, D.; Frei, G.; Güdel, H.; Hehlen, M.; Lüthi, S. Chem. Mater. 2004, 16, 1244. (b) Heer, S.; Kömpe, K.; Güdel, H.; Haase, M.
- (10) (a) Feng, W.; Sun, L.; Zhang, Y.; Yan, C. Small 2009, 5, 2057. (b) Mai, H.; Zhang, Y.; Sun, L.; Yan, C. J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 13721.
 (11) (a) Chase, L. Phys. Rev. B 1970, 2, 2308. (b) Bensalah, A.; Mortier, M.;
- Patriarche, G.; Gredin, P.; Vivien, D. J. Solid State Chem. 2006, 179, 2636. (c) Rao, D.; Prasad, J.; Prasad, P. Phys. Rev. B 1983, 28, 20. (d) Sun, X.; Li, Y. Chem. Commun. 2003, 34, 1768.
- (12) (a) Hull, A. Phys. Rev. 1921, 17, 42. (b) Baker, J.; Davies, E. J. Phys. C:
- (12) (a) Huit, N. Thys. 1975, 8, 1869.
 (13) Zhang, T.; Ge, J.; Hu, Y.; Yin, Y. Nano Lett. 2007, 7, 3203.
 (14) (a) Lemieux, R.; von Rudloff, E. Can. J. Chem. 1955, 33, 1701. (b) Higashimura, T.; Sawamoto, M.; Hiza, T.; Karaiwa, M.; Tsuchii, A.; Suzuki, T. (2007). T. Appl. Environ. Microb. 1983, 46, 386.
- (15) Chen, Z.; Chen, H.; Hu, H.; Yu, M.; Li, F.; Zhang, Q.; Zhou, Z.; Yi, T.; Huang, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 3023.
- (16) (a) Pollnau, M.; Gamelin, D.; Lüthi, S.; Güdel, H.; Hehlen, M. Phys. Rev. B 2000, 61, 3337. (b) Bai, X.; Song, H.; Pan, G.; Lei, Y.; Wang, T.; Ren, X.; Lu, S.; Dong, B.; Dai, Q.; Fan, L. J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 13611. (c) Vetrone, F.; Boyer, J.; Capobianco, J. J. Appl. Phys. 2004, 96, 661.

JA906732Y